

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,669	05/13/2002	H. Darrel Darby	A7705	6168
23373 7	590 08/20/2004		EXAM	INER
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			PATTERSON, MARIE D	
SUITE 800	LVANIA AVENUE, N.W.	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		3728	

DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/019,669	DARBY, H. DARREL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marie Patterson	3728	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by a Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r. n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	21 July 2004.		
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 33 and 34 is/are			
5) Claim(s) 3,6,9,12-30 and 35-40 is/are allow			
6) Claim(s) 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 31, and 32			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		ov the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oian priority under 25 II S.C. &	119(a) (d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eigh phonky under 35 0.5.C. §	119(a)-(u) 01 (1).	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	nents have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		onlication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
application from the International Bu	•	room of manoritational orago	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.	
A44.a.b			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview 0	ummary (PTO-413)	
7) Notice of References Cited (F10-092)2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948))/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/019,669 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 26-30, 37, 39, and 40 have been rejoined and examined due to the allowability of claims 25, 35, and 38 from which these claims depend.

2. Claims 33 and 34 remain withdrawn as dire4cted towards a nonelected invention.

IT is noted that these claims depend from claim 32 which is not patentable/allowable and therefore there is no inventive concept present.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Darbe (5491909) in view of Darby (5370133) and Grim (5329705).

Darby '909 shows a shoe comprising an outsole (16), an upper (14), an insole assembly (18), and a shank (22) substantially as claimed except for the sole having a circumferential counter portion and the exact layered insole. Darby '133 teaches providing an outsole with a circumferential counter portion (shown as 16A in figure 1). Grim teaches providing an insole with a plurality of different layers (52, 64, and 56) which are separably removable and are "capable of being rearranged in a different order" (due to the layers being completely detachable from one another) and one having a removable area (68) for use in a medical shoe. It would have been obvious to provide

Art Unit: 3728

the outsole with a counter portion as taught by Darby '133 and to provide an insole having a plurality of different separable layers as taught by Grim in the shoe of Darby '909 to provide greater stability to the outsole/upper contruction and to increase the cushioning and medial benefits of the insole construction taught by Grim.

5. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, and 31 above, and further in view of Kellerman (5799414).

Darby '909 as modified above shows an insole assembly substantially as claimed except for skiving the edges of the oval area. Kellerman teaches skiving/chamfering the edges of an opening (see column 5 lines 27-46). It would have been obvious to skive/chamfer the edges of the opening as taught by Kellerman in the insole assembly of Darby '909 as modified above to provide a smooth transition and to make the removable portion easier to remove.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 3, 6, 9, 12-30, and 35-40 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 6/10/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicants' arguments directed towards the limitation of the plurality of insole layers of Grim not being "capable of being rearranged so as to be stacked in different orders", this is not persuasive. Since the different layers of Grim are completely detachable from one another, they are inherently capable of being

Application/Control Number: 10/019,669 Page 4

Art Unit: 3728

rearranged so as to be stacking in different orders. It is elementary that the mere recitation of a newly discovered function or property, inherently possessed by things in the prior art, does not cause a claim drawn to distinguish over the prior art. Additionally, where the Patent Office has reason to believe that a functional limitation asserted to be critical for establishing novelty in the claimed subject matter may, in fact, be an inherent characteristic of the prior art, it possesses the authority to require the applicant to prove that the subject matter shown to be in the prior art does not possess the characteristic relied on. In re Swinehart, 169 USPQ 226 (CCPA1971).

Telephone inquiries regarding the status of application or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, "should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the Examiners. In as much as the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining groups, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information without contacting the examiners". M.P.E.P. 203.08. The Group clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1148 or the Tech Center 3700 Customer Service Center number is (703) 306-5648. For applicant's convenience, the Group Technological Center FAX number is (703) 872-9306. (Note that the Examiner cannot confirm receipt of faxes) Please identify Examiner of Art at the top of your cover sheet of any correspondence submitted.

Inquiries only concerning the merits of the examination should be directd to Marie Patterson whose telephone number is (703) 308-0069.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g. copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, for PTO-892, etc. requests for copies of such papers should be directed to (703) 308-1337.

Check out our web-site at "www.uspto.gov" for fees and other useful information.

Marie Patterson **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 3728